

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/938,644	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RUEGER, NEAL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Anita K Alanko	<b>Art Unit</b> 1765	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Anita K Alanko.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ryan Flax.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 October 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Clarified reasons for sending out non-responsive as to including claim language from the examiner's amendment in the claims.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)